And:

Grant Ryan – P&M Ryan Trust
Submitter

And:

Ministry for Primary Industries
P O Box 2526
Wellington 6140

Contact:

Grant Ryan
Ryan Farms
Pukekohe

Submission on Proposed National Policy Statement for Highly Productive Land

Dated: 07 October 2019

- 1. This submission is on behalf of Ryan Farms in relation to Ministry for the Environment and Ministry for Primary Industries proposed National Policy Statement for Highly Productive Land. Ryan Farms will gain no competitive advantage through the lodgement of this submission.
- 2. We are a small specialised onion seed research, breeding farming (9.5ha) operation we are located at 68 Pook Road in Harrisville, Pukekohe. We have always regarded the soil as sacred and have and are constantly refining methods to enhance and look after the soil. We have a large wetland (1ha) and have silt traps managing the soil. We are the only entity on Pook Road that make a living and work the land we are surrounded by lifestyle blocks. In the 1970s there was 5 properties on Pook Road, We have 19 properties on Pook Road in 2019.
- 3. I support the adoption of a National Policy Statement for Highly Productive Land (NPS-HPL), on the basis that this will discourage inappropriate use and fragmentation of finite land that is suitable for commercial vegetable production (CVP). I do not however consider that soil (and to some degree topography) should be the only considerations in determining highly productive land. There are a number of factors that make up a viable productive unit, ability to access water, reverse sensitivity we already have these issues, the ability to grow research plots economically with inputs required to do this. There cannot be a one size model fits all

with soil and land use. The reliance on the Land Use Capability system as an interim measure is, on this basis, considered to be unsuitable. We have already been affected by previous council policy and land in Pook Road has been subdivided, we are fenced in and will have no ability to expand under PC1 and would need to look at relocation, Selling Pook Road. We are only a small holding 9.5ha uneconomic for commercial veg farm we would need some ability to sell land and buy a new site.

- 4. It is considered that the definition of Highly Productive Land must take into account <u>all</u> essential criteria that make up a viable production unit. These include soil type, size, topography, productivity, sustainability (specifically regarding the requirement for rotational cropping to avoid the build-up of soil pests and diseases), suitably consented irrigation water, reverse sensitivity, ability to utilise nutrients, economic viability and avoidance of biosecurity threat, and natural disasters. Unless all essential elements are in place to enable a viable production operation to be undertaken, it is perverse to restrict land use options.
- 5. It is also considered that the NPS-HPL must be looked at in the context of other proposed regulation. In particular:
 - the proposed National Environmental Standard for Freshwater (NES-FW) which essentially caps CVP to a limited area, despite a growing domestic population to feed
 - the proposed National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management (NPS-FM)
 which identifies catchments that require rapid reduction in nitrates. These align in
 many cases to areas of land that are considered to be highly productive and used for
 CVP. Required nitrate reductions in some of these areas are as high as 80%.
 Reductions of between 30% and 50% are common and even at these rates of
 reduction, it is considered likely that many CVP businesses in these catchments will
 be unable to run a productive operation at the scale required to make the business
 viable.
- 6. The proposed National Policy Statement for Highly Productive Land (NPS-HPL) proposes to essentially 'lock' land into productive use, in order to prevent the valuable soil resource being fragmented or lost to urban expansion. However, if the land cannot viably be used for production, but cannot be used for any other use, the landowner stands to lose both their equity and their livelihood. Commercial vegetable production will reduce as a result and food may need to be imported to meet the growing fresh produce requirements of our country.
- 7. Pukekohe and the surrounds, in particular, provide a hub for commercial vegetable production (CVP) which significantly contributes to meeting the fresh produce demands of the domestic market¹ and is likely to be significantly adversely impacted by the cumulative effects of proposed regulation including the NPS-HPL, NPS-FM and NES-FW.
- 8. Pukekohe is unique within New Zealand as it presents favourable climatic conditions for the growing of crops, enabling winter production. In the north, crops are constrained by disease pressures, and further south may be subject to frosts.
- 9. Deloitte's acknowledged the significance of this location in providing for our national fresh vegetable consumption in 'New Zealand's Food Story The Pukekohe Hub', August 2018 and confirmed that this area contributes to 26% of the nation's value of production of vegetables.

2

¹ Deloittes New Zealand's Food Story 'The Pukekohe Hub' Prepared for Horticulture New Zealand, August 2018

- 10. We urge you to thoroughly consider the definition of highly productive land. We also ask that you address the implications of restricting land use change in the context of other proposed legislation.
- 11. We do not consider that regional councils are adequately resourced to cater for additional mapping requirements, again compounded by the excessive resourcing requirements imposed by numerous pieces of legislation currently proposed.
- 12. We support the location of activities that enhance the productive capacity of Highly Productive Land on that land. For example, glasshouses, packing sheds, post-harvest facilities, and distribution infrastructure that enhance the productive capacity of outdoor growers.
- 13. We support using incentives to improve the productive capacity of land. In some cases, this could provide for the development of land identified as Highly Productive Land. For example, transferable development rights in exchange for title aggregation.
- 14. We support policies to reduce reverse sensitivity issues, for example, requiring effective buffers at the rural-urban boundary interface.
- 15. An alternative option not considered within this discussion document but generally preferred by the growers in our area would be a National Environmental Standard specific to Commercial Vegetable Production.

Signed:				
Grant Ryan	 	_		